#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DENNIS MURPHY, Guardian Ad Litem For N.E. D., an incapacitated minor; JACOB DOTSON; DOMINIQUE BILLY, individually and as next friend of I.C. and S.D., minors,

Plaintiffs, No. 17-cv-384 LH/WPL

VS.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

### JOINT STATUS REPORT AND PROVISIONAL DISCOVERY PLAN

Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f), a telephonic meeting was held on June 28, 2017, and

was attended by:

Theodore W. Barudin BARUDIN LAW FIRM, P.C. 7900 Menaul Blvd. NE Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110 (505) 332-1800 tbarudin@barudinlaw.com

William H. Carpenter WILLIAM H. CARPENTER LAW OFFICE Post Office Box 35070 Albuquerque, NM 87176-5070 (505) 243-1336 bill@carpenter-law.com Ronald I. Kaplan, MD, JD KAPLAN & LUKOWSKI, LLP 333 Sandy Springs Circle Suite 200 Atlanta, Georgia 30328 404-845-0012 rik@kaplanlegal.com

Erin Langenwalter United States Attorney's Office District of New Mexico P.O. Box 607 Albuquerque, NM 87103 505-346-7282 Erin.Langenwalter@usdoj.gov

### **NATURE OF THE CASE**

This is a medical malpractice claim arising under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) against the United States of America for the alleged medical malpractice of Gallup Indian Medical Center ["GIMC"], Gallup, New Mexico. Plaintiffs claim the negligence of Stephen D. Waite, M.D., J.D., and medical personnel at GIMC, all of whom were federal employees, occurred in Gallup New Mexico, arising under New Mexico law in the medical malpractice of N.E.D, a minor.

### AMENDMENTS TO PLEADINGS AND JOINDER OF PARTIES

Plaintiffs intends to file: Plaintiffs do not anticipate amending the pleadings, but reserves the right to do so should additional parties be revealed in discovery.

Plaintiff(s) should be allowed until \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to move to amend the pleadings and until \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to join additional parties in compliance with the requirements of Fed.

R. Civ. P. 15(a).

Defendant United States of America intends to file: None at this time, but reserves the right to do so should additional parties be revealed in discovery.

Defendant should be allowed until \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to move to amend the pleadings and until \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to join additional parties in compliance with the requirements of Fed. R.

### **STIPULATIONS**

The parties stipulate to the following facts:

1. Venue is proper in the district court.

Civ. P. 15(a).

2. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Indian Health Service, operates GIMC in Gallup, New Mexico, employs certain medical professionals at the facility, and provides care and treatment to Native American patients and some non-Native

American emergency patients

Stephen Waite, M.D., J.D. is a federal employee for purposes of invoking the FTCA.
 28 USC §2671.

The parties further stipulate and agree that the law governing this case is the law of New Mexico and the Federal Tort Claims Act.

### **PLAINTIFFS' CONTENTIONS**

Plaintiffs contend that venue is properly laid in this District; that the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter.

Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit to recover damages arising out of medical malpractice which occurred between Plaintiffs and Gallup Indian Medical Center "[GIMC"]. At 3:00 p.m. on February 28, 2016, N.E.D. fell off playground equipment at the Indian Hills Playground in Gallup, New Mexico and hit her head and face on unprotected hard surface and developed symptoms consistent with a potential head injury. After this fall, N.E.D. was not fully responsive, talking nonsensical, was screaming and combative having sustained a separate and distinct injury from the fall at the playground which required medical care. The injuries involved in this fall resulted in complaints which necessitated an evaluation at the emergency room at the GIMC, Gallup, New Mexico. N.E.D. was seen and evaluated by the GIMC nursing staff and Stephen D. Waite, MD, JD, the emergency room physician on call at the time of her presentation.

Prior to the time that the negligent acts occurred at the GIMC, N.E.D. was breathing and had no issue with a lack of oxygen. Following evaluation and treatment at GIMC, she was medicated, intubated and sent for a CT scan. Either the intubation tube was misplaced, placement was not confirmed, not properly secured and monitored, or there was a medical error that

explains the lack of oxygen to N.E.D. As a result of the actions and/or inactions, it caused an extensive period of time in not having an adequate oxygen supply regardless of the cause.

Because the medical records are inconsistent, it is difficult for plaintiff to fully assess the Defendant's conduct until adequate discovery is completed. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend these allegations subject to further discovery.

Because of the negligent care while at GIMC, N.E.D. was emergently flown to the University of New Mexico Hospital where she was evaluated and treated. An MRI of the head taken on March 2, 2016, and compared to the first MRI of the head taken on February 29, 2016 showed a traumatic brain injury from "global hypoxic/anoxic/hypo-perfusion insult". As a direct and proximate and foreseeable result of the breach of the standard of care, and the negligent acts and omission of Defendant, Plaintiff N.E.D. has suffered a permanent and irreparable traumatic brain injury requiring the necessity for lifelong care she and her family will need, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of companionship with her parents and siblings, past present and future medical bills, loss of income and income opportunity, pain and suffering, and other damages to be identified.

Dominique Billy brings her own separate claims for the loss of consortium for herself for her loss due to the injuries sustained by her daughter, N.E.D. Ms. Billy also brings the loss of consortium claims for the loss sustained by I.C., the sibling of N.E.D. Dominique Billy was extremely close to her daughter as well as I.C. in his relationship with N.E.D.

Jacob Dotson brings his own separate claims for the loss of consortium for himself for his loss due to the injuries sustained by his daughter, N.E.D. Jacob Dotson and Dominique Billy bring their claims for the loss of consortium for themselves as parents for the loss due to the injuries sustained by their daughter, N.E.D.

### **DEFENDANT'S CONTENTIONS**

The United States denies liability and denies the extent and causal connection of Plaintiffs' alleged injuries to the care provided by GIMC. In addition:

- 1. The United States and its personnel, agents, and officers exercised due care and diligence in conducting their duties.
- 2. If the United States is liable, which is specifically denied, Plaintiffs' recovery must be reduced to the extent Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their damages.
- 3. If the United States is liable, which is specifically denied, Plaintiffs' recovery must be reduced to the extent acts and omissions of others were the independent, intervening, and superseding causes of Plaintiffs' alleged injuries.
- 4. If the United States is liable, which is specifically denied, Plaintiffs' recovery must be reduced to the extent Plaintiffs' assumption of the risk is attributed to their injuries.
- 5. If the United States is liable, which is specifically denied, Plaintiffs' recovery must be reduced to the extent acts and omissions of others were the sole proximate causes of any injury, damage or loss to Plaintiffs.
- 6. If the United States is liable, which is specifically denied, an act or acts of Plaintiffs contributed to the injuries and damages of which Plaintiffs complain, and therefore the United States is not liable for them.
- 7. If the United States is liable, which is specifically denied, it may be held liable only in the same manner and to the same extent as a private individual under like circumstances.
- 8. The United States' liability and this court's jurisdiction is limited to the express statutory exception to liability under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2680(a).
  - 9. This Court may lack jurisdiction over some or all claims raised in Plaintiffs'

Complaint. This court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over any claims which were not the subject of an administrative claim timely and properly presented pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a).

- 10. Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, is limited to the amount of the claim that Plaintiffs properly exhausted administratively. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2675(b).
  - 11. All future damages, if any, must be reduced to present value.
- 12. Income taxes must be deducted from all alleged past and future lost earnings, if any.
- 13. To the extent Plaintiffs seek punitive damages, prejudgment interest, costs or attorneys' fees, the Federal Tort Claims Act prohibits such awards. Any award for Guardian *Ad Litem* must be included in such attorneys' fees.

## PROVISIONAL DISCOVERY PLAN

The parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan:

# **Plaintiffs' Witnesses:**

1. Plaintiffs intend to call, or may call, the following witnesses to testify at the time of trial:

	Name and Address	Subject Matter of Testimony		
a.	Dennis Murphy, as Guardian Ad Litem	Mr. Murphy is the Guardian Ad Litem and w		
	of N.E.D.	assist in giving counsel to the family of N.E.D.		
	c/o Barudin Law Firm, P.C.			
	7900 Menaul Blvd. NE			
	Albuquerque, NM 87110			
b.	Dominque Billy	Dominque Billy has knowledge and information		
	c/o Barudin Law Firm, P.C.	regarding the circumstances of what transpired		
	7900 Menaul Blvd. NE	before and after the injuries which are the subject		
	Albuquerque, NM 87110	matter of this lawsuit. This witness has knowledge		
		and information regarding how this incident		
		affected her life and the life of N.E.D., I.C., and		
		S.D.		
c.	Jacob Dotson	Jacob Dotson has knowledge and information		
	c/o Barudin Law Firm, P.C.	regarding the circumstances of what transpired		
	7900 Menaul Blvd. NE	before and after the injuries which are the subject		

	Albuquerque, NM 87110	matter of this lawsuit. This witness has knowledge
	Albuquerque, Nivi 8/110	and information regarding how this incident
		affected his life and the life of N.E.D.
d.	Gallup Med Flight	The personnel of Gallup Med Flight will testify as
u.	10888 South 300 West	to the injuries sustained by N.E.D., and the
	South Jordan, UT 84094	treatment provided by Gallup Med Flight during
	(866) 935-3636 Johnathan Quam, EMT-Paramedic	the flight to University of New Mexico Hospital
	* '	
	Demetrio Salazar, Nurse	The general of University of New Maries
e.	Employees of University of New	The personnel of University of New Mexico
	Mexico Hospital	Hospital will testify as to the injuries sustained by
	2211 Lomas Blvd. NE	N.E.D. and the treatment provided by various
	Albuquerque, NM 87102	medical staff at University of New Mexico
	(505) 272-2211	Hospital.
	Sonlee Denise West, MD	
	Benjamin H. Hammond, MD	
	Maria Enrione, MD, PICU	
	Zoya A. Voronovich, MD	
	Peter C. Shin, MD	
	James Reese, MD	
	Jose A. Padin-Rosado, MD	
	Dave N. Shahani, MD	
	Nizhoni W. Denipah	
	Randall A. Heidenreich, MD	
	Glen A. Fenton, MD	
	Gilberto Alvarez-Colon	
	Anne Marie Morse, DO	
	Douglas W. Barrett, MD	
	Joseph P. Harmon, DO	
	Dominic Harris, MD	
	Denise E. Taylor, MD	
	Teresa Anderson, MD	
	Jason Q. McKee, MD	
	John P. Phillips, MD	
	Anjali V. Subbaswamy, MD	
	Beth M. Goens, MD	
	Senan Hadid, MD	
	Denise M. Coleman, MD	
	Hemant S. Agarwal, MD	
	Stephen C. Lunderman, DO	
	Shalon M. Nienow, MD	
	Thomas Howdieshell, MD	
	Rebecca R. Craig, MD	
	Tania L. Kraai, MD	
	Tamra L. Lemley, MD	
	David Michael Lang, LMT	

		,
	Susan L. Williamson, MD	
	Courtney Degner, AA	
	Janet K. Brierly, MD	
	Janelle M. Aragon, MD	
	Yasmin Magdaleno, MD	
	Ricardo Castillo, MD	
	Carlo Viamonte, MD	
	Joyce F. Phillips, MD	
	Lanier Nicole Lopez, MD	
	Melissa A. Sanchez, MD	
	Anna L. Duran, MD	
	Jennifer Vickers, MD	
	Gamaliel Lorenzo, MD	
	Heather McKnight, MD	
	Jessica Williams, MD	
	Jon J. Hallstrom, MD	
	Allyson Richards, MD	
	William D. Zimmer, MD	
	Jennifer L. Saline, MD	
	,	
	Joseph L. Hunt, MD	
	Jamie M. Elifritz, MD	
	Brad W. Cushnyr, MD	
	Danielle E. Sorte, MD	
	Joanna R. Fair, MD	
	Mark Prdrotty, PhD.	
	Aaron P. Jacobs, MD	
	Anita K. McCormick, CRNA	
	Thomas J. Long, MD	
	Dave Naresh Shahani, MD	
f.	Employees of	The personnel of University of New Mexico
	UNM Carrie Tingley Hospital	Hospital will testify as to the injuries sustained by
	1127 University Blvd. NE	N.E.D. and the treatment provided by various
	Albuquerque, NM 87102	medical staff at University of New Mexico
	(505) 272-5200	Hospital.
	Kenna K. Sheak, MD	
	Beverly Swaims, CNP	
	Theresa M. Anaya, MD	
	Candace P. Leas, CNP	
	Caroline E. Cook, PAC	
	Selina R. Silva, MD	
	Jamie L. Cloyes, CNP	
g.	Family of Dominique Billy, individually	The family of the Billy/Dotson family will testify
0.	and as parent of N.E.D., I.C., S.D. and	as to how this affected the life of Dominique
	Jacob Dotson	Billy, individually and as parent of N.E.D., I.C.,
	Barudin Law Firm	S.D. and Jacob Dotson.
	c/o 7900 Menaul Blvd. NE	S.D. and succession.
<u> </u>	C/O //OO MICHAAI DIVA. IND	

	Albuquerque, NM 87110
h.	Employees of Defendant United States
	of America, including but not limited to:
	Gallup Indian Medical Center
	Dr. Stephen D. Waite, M.D., J.D.
	Gallup Indian Medical Center
	<b>c/o</b> Erin Langewalter, Esq.
	Assistant United States Attorney
	United States Attorney's Office
	District of New Mexico
	Post Office Box 167
	Albuquerque, NM 87103

- i. Plaintiffs may call any other witness identified through discovery
- j. Plaintiffs may call any other witness as identified by Defendant including all expert witnesses.
- k. Plaintiffs may call any other witness as identified by Defendant including all expert witnesses and any rebuttal witnesses as may be required.
- 1. Any treating physicians and/or healthcare providers for the Plaintiffs not listed herein whose testimony is deemed necessary as discovery continues.
- m. Any person whose deposition is taken in the course of discovery.
- n. Any fact witness designated by any other party herein.
- o. Any other person whose testimony may be necessary based upon discovery or investigation.
- p. Any person identified as a person with knowledge of facts or who may be listed as potential witnesses in the Initial Disclosures of any other party or in any discovery responses or depositions taken in this case by any party.
- q. Foundation witnesses as may be necessary to authenticate and to establish admissibility of any documents and other exhibits including, but not limited to, medical records and billing custodians.
- 2. Plaintiffs intends to call the following expert witnesses to testify at the time of trial:
- a. None at this time- This disclosure will be timely filed per the Scheduling Order of the Court.

### **Defendant's Witnesses**

- 1. At this time, Defendant is aware of the following individuals who are likely to have discoverable information that the Defendant may use to support its claims or defenses:
  - a. Any and all witnesses identified by Plaintiff in this JSR,
  - b. N.E.D.'s aunt (Danielle Billy) who was supposedly watching N.E.D. at the playground and after she fell,
  - c. N.E.D.'s grandmother, to whose house she was taken after she fell,
  - d. Any witnesses identified by the parties in the discovery process,
  - e. Any rebuttal witnesses identified by Defendant during the course of discovery and trial.
- 2. Defendant United States intends to call the following expert witnesses to testify at the time of trial:

Defendant has not yet identified any experts but reserves the right to do so within the deadlines set by the Court.

### **Plaintiffs Exhibits:**

Plaintiffs intend to produce the following exhibits to be used at trial:

- a. Any and all of Plaintiff N.E.D.'s medical records and billing;
- b. Power Point presentations or other similar demonstrative evidence;
- c. Photos of the Billy/Dotson family;
- d. Background documentation of Stephen Waite, MD, JD;
- e. Plaintiff N.E.D. school records;
- f. Any exhibit(s) identified by Defendant;
- g. Any and all audio or video recordings which may be identified throughout discovery;
- h. Any and all journals, treatises, articles or other authoritative resources which may be referenced or relied upon by treating physicians or expert witnesses;

- i. Any and all other material which any expert may rely upon in support of their opinion(s) in this case;
- j. Any other exhibit(s) that may be identified through discovery;
- k. Any other pleadings pertinent to the case.

### **Defendant's Exhibits:**

Defendant United States of America may seek to introduce the following exhibits to be used at trial:

- 1. N.E.D.'s medical records;
- 2. N.E.D.'s school records;
- 4. Any and all journals, treatises, articles or other authoritative resources which may be referenced or relied upon by treating physicians or expert witnesses;
- 5. Any and all other material which any expert may rely upon in support of their opinion(s) in this case;
  - 6. Any documents, items, or exhibits that may be identified through discovery.
- 7. Any documents, items, or exhibits produced or identified in discovery in *Murphy et. al, v. Playpower, Inc., et. al,* D-101-CV-2017-0125 or any other lawsuit arising out of the same underlying facts.
  - 8. Any and all exhibits listed by Plaintiff.
- 9. Any rebuttal exhibits identified by Defendant during the course of discovery and trial.

Discovery will be needed on the following subjects: Liability and Damages.

Maximum of twenty-five (25) interrogatories by each party to any other party. (Responses due 30 days after service).

Maximum of twenty-five (25) requests for admission by each party to any other party. (Response due 30 days after service).

Maximum of twenty (20) depositions by all parties hereto.

Each deposition (other than of expert witnesses, parties, and Dr. Stephen Waite) limited to maximum of four (4) hours unless extended by agreement of parties.

maximum of four (4) flours unless extended by agreement of partie	S.		
Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a) (2) due:			
from Plaintiff(s) by:	-		
from Defendant(s) by:	(Defendant	requests	six
weeks from Plaintiff's disclosure of experts).			
Supplementation and rebuttal under Rule 26(e) due as requir	ed or authorize	ed by the Fed	deral
Rules of Civil Procedure.			
All discovery commenced in time to be complete by		<u>.</u>	
Other Items: None.			
PRETRIAL MOTIONS			
Plaintiffs intends to file: Any discovery motions as ma	ay be required	d, motion(s)	) for
summary judgment, motion(s) in limine, motion(s) to compel.			
Defendant United States of America intends to file: M	lotions in Lim	ine and Dat	ıbert
motions as necessary, Discovery Motions as necessary, and Dispos	itive Motions	as necessary	у.
ESTIMATED TRIAL TIME			
The parties estimate trial will require 5 days.			
X This is a non-jury case (Federal Tort Claims Act)			
This is a jury case (As to other defendants)			
The parties request a pretrial conference in			

## **SETTLEMENT**

The possibility of settlement in this case cannot be evaluated prior to the close of discovery.

The parties agree that settlement may be enhanced by use of the private mediation, however, determination cannot be made at this time.

# **EXCEPTIONS**

Plaintiffs take exception as to the costs and fees of the Guardian ad Litem for approval of the minors' settlement and whether such costs are part of the Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees.

APPROVED WITHOUT EXCEPTIONS

/s/ Theodore W. Barudin
Theodore W. Barudin
William H. Carpenter
Ronald I. Kaplan, MD, JD
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ Erin Langenwalter
Erin Langenwalter, Assistant U.S. Attorney
Attorney for Defendant USA